

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,142	08/27/2003	Dennis Barts	018360/258494	6308
826	7590 03/24/2005		EXAM	INER
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			FISHER, MICHAEL J	
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOT	CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		3629	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AN	
VI.	

Application No. 10/650,142 Examiner Michael J Fisher 3629 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

renou for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/650,142

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PAT 5,024,295 to Thangavelu.

As to claim 21, Thangavelu discloses a method comprising, transporting people (in elevators) from an origin point (entrance to the building) to a mixing center (various floors), consolidating passengers (determining passenger arrival rate at the floor, claim 5), transporting the people to the common destination (claim 4), modeling the network (claim 1), predicting delays (claim 5, "determining... passenger arrival rate..."), planning and executing a route to reduce the delay (claim 11).

Thangavelu does not, however, teach using the system for transporting vehicles from a manufacturing plant to a plurality of destination locations.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,142

Art Unit: 3629

Queuing theory, used to optimize traffic flow, is very well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system as taught by Thangavelu by using it to deliver cars as Thangavelu teaches this as a good method of optimizing traffic flows.

As to claim 22, it is inherent that automobiles are shipped to a destination.

As to claims 23-24, cars are inherently hauled by "car haulers".

As to claim 25, Thangavelu discloses bypassing a congested area (claim 11).

As to claim 26, it is inherent that cars are transported to dealerships.

As to claims 28 and 29, cars are inherently hauled by "car haulers".

As to claim 30, mixing centers are very well known in the art and to ship cars destined to multiple destinations is inherent.

As to claim 31, the downstream destination would be the "destination ramp" (dealership).

As to claim 32, it is inherent that cars are transported to dealerships and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to ship the cars directly if there is congestion at the mixing center as Thangavelu discloses avoiding congested areas (claim 11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J Fisher whose telephone number is 703-306-5993. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

Art Unit: 3629

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J Fisher

Patent Examiner GAU 3629

MF // 3/20/05